SP 002 097

By-Hartley, H. O.

Statistical Methodology for Educational Research. Final Report.

Spons Agency-Office of Education (DHEW), Washington, D.C. Bureau of Research.

Bureau No-BR-6-1932

Pub Date 4 Nov 66

Grant-OEG-4-6-061932-1048

Note-21p.

EDRS Price MF-\$0.25 HC-\$1.15

Descriptors-Computer Science Education, *Course Descriptions, *Educational Researchers, *Institutes (Training Programs), Program Descriptions, Program Evaluation, Questionnaires, Rating Scales, *Research

Methodology, *Statistical Analysis

This 1966 Summer Institute for training educational research workers in statistical methodology attempted to convey concepts of statistical and analytic techniques, to provide instruction and experience in the use of high speed computers, and to guide trainees in the selection and application of techniques to their research work. The first session (June 6-July 15) was attended by 31 researchers actively engaged in secondary school education; 22 remained through the second session (July 19-August 12). During each session trainees attended morning classes in statistical techniques; afternoon classes were in behavioral research methodology (first session only) and computer laboratory work with an IBM 7094 and several IBM 1401 computers. A Problems Seminar was added in the second session. Trainees and faculty members, who were professional statisticians as well as subject matter specialists from social, behavioral, and computer science departments, offered suggestions for program improvement through an evaluation form. (Summary data on publicity, applications, trainees, program director's attendance, and finances are included.) (LP)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION & WELFARE OFFICE OF EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL OFFICE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY.

FINAL REPORT

Grant No. OEG-4-6-061932-1048

"Statistical Methodology for Educational Research"

November 4, 1966

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF

HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Office of Education

Bureau of Research

SP002097

"Statistical Methodology for Educational Research"

Grant No. OEG-4-6-061932-1048

Dr. H. O. Hartley Program Director

Instructional Dates of Program June 6, 1966 - August 12, 1966

The training program reported herein was conducted pursuant to a grant from the Office of Education, U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare. Grantees undertaking such projects under Government sponsorship are encouraged to express freely their professional judgment of the conduct of the project. Points of view or opinions stated do not, therefore, necessarily represent official Office of Education position or policy.

Texas A&M University
College Station, Texas



Final Report
For An Educational Research Training Project
(Summer Institute on "Statistical Methodology for Educational Research")

ORIENTATION

The purpose of this Institute was to train educational research workers in basic analytical techniques, notably statistic 'analyr's, required in their research areas. Specifically, the Summer Institute extended over ten weeks and had the following objectives:

- a) to convey an understanding of the basic concepts of statistical and analytic techniques to research workers in the educational field who had no prior exposure to these notions.
- b) to extend the knowledge of statistical methodology of research workers who had been exposed to basic course work in this area.
- c) to provide some instruction and experience in the use of highspeed computers for statistical analysis.
- d) to provide guidance with regard to the selection and application of the statistical techniques appropriate to research problems of persons who were already working on specific research problems in the educational field.

The Course of Study

The Institute consisted of two sessions, Session I, 6 weeks, Session II, 4 weeks, and it was the intention that most persons should attend this Institute for the entire ten weeks.

Session I: Introduction to Basic Analytical Techniques

June 6 - July 15 (Coinciding with the first summer session at Texas A&M)

The morning sessions covered topics normally given in a basic statistical methods course. The text was Statistical Inference, Vol. I by J.C.R. Li (Edwards Brothers, Inc., Ann Arbor, Michigan, 1964). This book covers basic statistical analysis topics on a non-mathematical plane.

A brief list of the statistical techniques covered is as follows:

Descriptive Statistics
The Normal Distribution
Sampling Experiments



Sample Mean

Test of Hypothesis

Sample Variance χ^2 Distribution

Student's t-Distribution

Variance-Ratio - F Distribution

Difference Between Sample Means

Confidence Intervals

Randomized Blocks

In the afternoons, staff members of the Departments of Education and Psychology and Computer Science introduced Institute participants to topics in behavioral esearch methodology, including the discussion of examples in educational research of concepts taught in the morning sessions.

Session II: Additional Topics and Applications Seminar July 19 - August 12, 1966

Morning lectures dealt with additional topics such as multiple regression, experimental design, and the analysis of general linear models. The text was Statistical Inference, Vol. II, by J.C.R. Li, (Edwards Brothers, Inc., Ann Arbor, Michigan, 1966).

A brief description of the statistical techniques covered is as follows:

Extensions of Analysis of Variance
Tests of Specific Hypotheses in the Analysis of Variance
Linear Regression-I
Multiple Regression-Algebra
Multiple Regression-Inference
Fitting Constants
Factorial Experiments

The afternoon sessions were devoted to computer laboratories (both conventional and high-speed) illustrating problems from the morning lectures, and in addition, there was conducted a "Problems Seminar" where the attendees of the Institute were invited to submit

ERIC

Full Text Provided by ERIC

their own research problems so that aspects of statistical analyses involved in these projects would be discussed.

Thirty-one trainees attended the first session only (June 6 to July 15) and twenty-two trainees attended both sessions (June 6 to August 12). All trainees were persons actively engaged in secondary school education and concerned with varying research aspects in their educational activities. They were either high school teachers or persons concerned with the administration of high school education at state offices or educational offices at a more local level. All trainees were highly motivated and some of them were intending to proceed to higher degree work in Education at a University. The age range of the trainees was considerable, ranging from 22 to 50 years of age.

DESCRIPTION OF THE PROGRAM

The time schedule followed by both sessions was as follows:

8:30 to 9:30 Lecture
9:30 to 9:45 Question Period
9:45 to 10:00 Intermission
10:00 to 11:00 Lecture
1:45 to 2:45* Computer Laboratory
2:45 to 3:00 Intermission
3:00 to 5:00* Session I: Lecture with Intermission
Session II: Problems Seminar
*On Wednesday afternoons high speed computer laboratory - lectures.

The faculty for this Institute was comprised of professional statisticians from the Graduate Institute of Statistics, who provided faculty with acknowledged competence and established record of research in statistical methodology, and subject matter specialists from the social, behavioral, and computer science departments, who provided a faculty of similar standing with respect to the use of analytic techniques in their fields.

It was found that Dr. Gene Dayhoff, who was a scheduled faculty member in our proposal, received at short notice, an assignment in Brazil. It was, therefore, necessary to assign his duties in the Summer Institute to Mr. J. G. Darroch, Assistant Professor, Graduate Institute of Statistics. Moreover, it was also necessary to make a change in the instructor responsible for the Wednesday afternoon



high speed computer sessions: Mr. G. H. Dipple, Instructor in the School of Business Administration, took over the duties of Professor Don Drew. Mr. Dipple has acted, since the Fall of 1965, as the coordinator for Data Processing activities in the School of Business Administration.

The Faculty members for the various lectures and sessions were therefore as follows:

Morning Lectures

J. G. Darroch (Assistant Professor, Graduate Institute of Statistics)

Second Session
R. J. Freund (Associate Professor, Graduate Institute of Statistics)

Afternoon Lectures with Problems Seminar

First Session

D. G. Barker (Associate Professor, Department of Education and Psychology)

W. A. Luker (Head, Department of Business Analysis and Research)

G. H. Dipple (Instructor, School of Business Administration)

Second Session

H. O. Hartley (Director, Graduate Institute of Statistics)

W. A. Luker (Head, Department of Business Analysis and Research)

Facilities

Each student who attended the Summer Institute was allocated a working desk in an air-conditioned room for the duration of his attendance. The classes were conducted in modern air-conditioned classrooms equipped with visual aids. The use of Cushing Library (the main University library) and all branch libraries were made available for the use of the students. In addition, the extensive reprint collection of the faculty members of the Graduate Institute of Statistics was available to students who attended the advanced 'Problems Seminar.' The facilities of the well-known Texas A&M University Data Processing Center) which contains an IBM 7094 and several IBM 1401 computers, were available for the use of the participants.

EVALUATION OF THE PROGRAM

At the conclusion of both Session I and Session II, the attached instruments were given to every trainee in order to obtain guidance with regard to the success of the program. The trainees were asked to answer the questions but were told not to sign the instrument. It was hoped, thereby, to obtain frank and useful guidelines for an evaluation. Copies of the completed questionnaires are on file and could be made available to the Department of Health, Education and Welfare if requested.

An evaluation by our faculty of the instrument indicated that on the whole the program was regarded as both most useful and instructive; however, the following lessons can be learned with regard to future Summer Institutes.

There was an indication of "tiring" towards the end of the first session, but by the end of the second session the trainees staying for the full program did not indicate that the length of the full 10 weeks session was excessive. It is hoped that an anticipation of the second sessions activities in the first session will avoid this stagnating effect towards the end of the first session.

It is indicated that there should be a better coordination between the statistically oriented lectures and those on research methods and educational techniques.

It is indicated that some of the trainees were not able to derive sufficient benefit from a discussion of educational problems not directly linked with those that they themselves had encountered. It is suggested, therefore, that an assessment of the interests of the trainees be undertaken at a very early stage of the Institute so that the faculty can be better guided with regard to the selection of problems more likely to motivate the group of trainees as a whole. The trainees were very appreciative of the opportunity of discussing their own educational problems, both with the faculty as well as with their fellow trainees in the problem sessions.

The second session problem class must be regarded as perhaps the most successful part of the program: Trainees participated in the presentation of their problems, were guided to the selection of the appropriate statistical technique and applied these in sample computations. Seven problems were selected for discussion with an average duration of 2-3 afternoons per problem. The trainees submitting the problems returned to their home-base with plans for detailed statistical analysis.

The instruction of the application of high speed computers to educational problems was well appreciated. However, it was suggested that there be more of this activity and that the trainees efforts in this area should be recognized as part of the official assessment of their performance in the Institute's program.

The afternoon computer laboratory was very much appreciated by the trainees as it appeared to give them an opportunity of discussing the problems they encountered in class with the graduate student instructors in this laboratory. It appeared that the help rendered by these instructors was not merely confined to computational aspects, but also aided greatly with regard to the understanding of the subject matter areas presented in the lectures.

The facilities provided were regarded as a rule as entirely satisfactory, however, some indication was given that the number of calculators provided was not entirely adequate.

Some budgetary difficulties were encountered through the fact that (a) the trainees were not supposed to be charged tuition fees by the University and (b) no tuition fees should appear in the budget submitted to the Department of Health, Education and Welfare. It will, therefore, be necessary in future Summer Institutes to arrange with the University administration that the payment of tuition fees be reflected as an administrative item.

Selection Criteria

Participants in this Institute were to have consisted of persons who were at the time active in some phase of Education research. This included administrators and faculty members of Colleges and Universities having departments of Education; administrators, counselors and teachers in public education systems in which some research in Education was being carried on and research workers in governmentagencies and private industry catering to educational needs. Participants were to possess at least a master's degree or equivalent and were to have a good knowledge of college algebra.

As mentioned above, in spite of the above criteria some of the trainees did not have an adequate quantitative preparation. This seems to have been a function of outdated training in college algebra. It is hoped that such shortcomings can be avoided in future selections provided that a grant for the Summer Institute is awarded early enough to permit our faculty a more searching application of the selection criteria.



PROGRAM REPORTS

ERIC

Summary data and information reports as indicated below, are requested.

1. Publicity-The directors of the Institute employed the following techniques in publicizing the program: informational brochures, letters, and personal professional contacts.

The brochures were mailed to state departments of education and education agencies in all fifty states, senior colleges and universities with departments or colleges of education, and selected public schools with research facilities and interests. Letters were mailed to selected mailing lists, e.g., the Texas Association of Data Processors. Personal telephone contacts were also made.

The approximate dates of mailing, types of mailings, volume of mailings, and addressees are outlined below:

ype of Mail	Volume of Mail	Addressee
fo. Brochures	Approx. 1,000 200	State Dept.of Education Selected Colleges & Universities Selected Public Schools Professional Contacts Professional Organizations
	ype of Mail fo. Brochures rs. Letters	fo. Brochures Approx. 1,000

-7-

^{*}These mailings were made immediately after official notice of funding which was given only $l\frac{1}{2}$ months before the Institute was scheduled to begin. This delay allowed less than one month between the mailing of the announcement brochures and the date of acceptance of trainees. It is the considered opinion of the directors of the Institute that it was unfortunate that the funding agency could not act on the application sooner. This was largely responsible for the relatively poor geographic and professional distribution of the participants.

٠.	Whhi	ICacion Summary	
	a.	Approximate number of inquiries from prospective	r e
		trainees (letter or conversation)	70
	ъ.	Number of completed applications received	40
	c.	Number of first rank applications (Applicants	
		who are well-qualified whether or not they	
		were offered admission)	7
	d.	How many applicants were offered admission	36
3.	Trai	nee Summary	
	a.	Number of trainees initially accepted in	
		program	36
	•	Number of trainees enrolled at the beginning	
		of program	31
		Number of trainees who completed program*	31
	b.	Categorization of trainees	
		(1) Number of trainees who principally are	
		elementary or secondary public school	
		teachers	13
		(2) Number of trainees who are principally	
		local public school administrators or	
		supervisors	99
		(3) Number of trainees from State education	
		groups	1
		(4) Number of trainees from colleges or	
		universities, junior colleges, research	7 (college)
		bureaus, etc. (specify)	1 (Jr. college
4.	Prog	ram Director's Attendance	
	a.	What was the number of instructional days for	
		the program?	50
	b.	What was the percent of days the director was	
		present?	50%

^{*}All trainees completed the six weeks program. The second four weeks were optional. All 22 who began the second four weeks completed the program.

5. Financial Summary

		Budgeted	Expended or Committed
a.	Trainee Support		
	(1) Stipends	26, 250	20,600
	(2) Dependency Allowance	8,625	8,160
	(3) Travel	6,490	576
b.	Direct Costs		
	(1) Personnel	13,989	11,414
	(2) Supplies	-0-	-0-
	(3) Equipment	3,650	200
	(4) Travel	25 2	-0-
	(5) Other	55 9	1.00
c.	Indirect Costs	4,785	2,500
	TOTAL	64,600	43,550

Appendix A
Application for Admission



TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE IN STATISTICAL METHODOLOGY COLLEGE STATION, TEXAS

Application for Admission

Please type or print all information on this and/or other forms.

1.	Name in full:		••••••••	• • • • • • • •	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • •
		Miss Last	First	•	Middle	
2.	CURRENT addres	is:	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • •	
3.	PERMANENT resi	dence address:	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • •
4.	Citizen of:	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • •		
5.	AgeDat	e of Birth	Place	of Birth.	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • •
6.	Marital status	: Single	Married Married	☐ No	of dependent	t children
7.	By June will y full-time grad	usta etudu?	the equivalent of	one or n	ore normal ye	ars of
	By June will y a doctoral deg	ou have reasonable ree in one more ye	e assurance of comp ear of graduate stu	ıdy?	he requiremen	ts for
`. a-	enrolled. Arr institution fi	ange in REVERSE serst:	ed, including the o	our curre	nt (or last-a	t tend êd)
	including pre	versities attended sent institution which located.	Inclusive dates of attendance	Degree earned		Major field
	(Please expl	ain any interrupti	on of schooling, i	.e., mil	itary training	, illness,



9.	Academic honors:	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	••••••••	•••••••••••	•••••
	••••••••••••••••••••••		
	••••	•••••••••••••	***
10.	published works. List the titles	sued, giving the title and reference and any references possible for unpor other publications. (Use extra a	oublished
	••••••	•••••••••••••	••••••
	•••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • •
	••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • •
	•••••		• • • • • • • • • • • •
11.	* *	ng, & other relevant positions held Use extra sheet of paper, if needed	
	Position	Place	Date
-	Position	Place	Date
	Position	Place	Date
	Position	Place	Date
	Position	Place	Date
12.			
12.	Crede Point Ratio Information base	Place ed on A = 3, B = 2, C = 1, D = 0, FAll Graduate Work:	= 0:
12.	Crede Point Ratio Information base	ed on A = 3, B = 2, C = 1, D = 0, F	= 0:
	Grade Point Ratio Information base All Undergraduate work:	ed on A = 3, B = 2, C = 1, D = 0, F	= 0:
	Crede Point Ratio Information base All Undergraduate work: Undergraduate Major:	ed on A = 3, B = 2, C = 1, D = 0, FAll Graduate Work:	= 0:
13.	Crede Point Ratio Information base All Undergraduate work: Undergraduate Major: What foreign languages can you rea	ed on A = 3, B = 2, C = 1, D = 0, FAll Graduate Work:	= 0:
13. 14.	Grade Point Ratio Information base All Undergraduate work: Undergraduate Major: What foreign languages can you reaspea	ed on A = 3, B = 2, C = 1, D = 0, FAll Graduate Work:	= 0:



16.	Names & addresses of three persons, each of whom knows your academic & professional experience and ability, whom you are requesting to submit Reference Reports. At least two of the three should be persons with whom you have worked in your major field. The others listed should be in closely allied fields.							
	••••••••••••••••••••••••							
	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••							
	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••							
1-	To Abda anno make a statement of about 000 minds described at a blackform of							

17. In this space make a statement of about 300 words describing the objectives of your educational program and professional career.

18. In this space make a statement of about 300 words describing the current research in which you are engaged. Signature of Applicant... William A. Luker
Department of Business Analysis RETURN TO

Texas A&M University College Station, Texas

ERIC Full Taxt Provided by ERIC

Appendix B Evaluation Forms

ERIC -

EVALUATION FORM

FOR

HEW SUMMER INSTITUTE IN STATISTICAL METHODOLOGY

FORM I

Name of Instructor Being Rated

1.	PREPARA	TION FO	R CLASS	ME	ETINGS						
	10	9	88	7	66	5	4	3	2	1	0
	Class m fully p conduct	lanned			Usually stion; sor inadequat	netimes			cle or r lers.	o prep	aration,
2.	KNOWLED	GE OF S	UBJECT								
	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1.	0
٠	Knowled broad, to-date	accurat	-		Knowledge somewhat at times date.	limite	ed and	ious quer	_	cient ccurat	ect ser- and fre- e and
3.	ABILITY	TO ARC	OUSE INT	ERE	ST						
	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	11	0
	Interes usually	_	=	ts	Students mildly in						nts in- the time
4.	STIMULA	TE CRIT	PICAL AN	D I	NDEPENDEN:	r Think	CING				
	10	9	8	7		5	4	3	2	1	0
	Gives student oppor- tunity to think and learn independently,				Gives student some opportunity to develop his academic resources on his own initiative.						
5.	MANNERI	SMS									
	10	9	8	7	6	. 5	4	3	2	<u> </u>	0
	Manner pleasing; free from annoying manner-isms.			Mannerisms not serious- ly objectionable.				stantly ying ma			
6.	FAIRNES	S IN G	RADING								
		based o	8 rtial; on sever		Partial grades befew evidenchievem	ased or ences o	n a	ity lim:	_ •	s based idences	partial- l on very s of



7.	WILLINGNESS	TO HELP								
	10 9	8 _ 7	6	5	Σţ	3	2	<u> </u>	0	
	Instructor eally friendly willing to hadents even in	y; usually elp stu-	Instruct friendly willing students	; usual to help	ly	sar		and pre	or occupied; students	
8.	SPEECH AND E	NUNCIATION								
	10 9	8 7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0	
	Speaks clear distinctly.	ly and			s indis- to hear.		ds very en impos		inct; to hear.	
9•	EXAMINATIONS									
	10 9	8 7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0	
Fairly reflect material covered.			flect em	Sometimes do not re- flect emphasis given in class.			Poor; seems to be trying to "trick" the student rather than test him.			
10.	GENERAL ESTI	MATE OF TEA	CHER							
	10 9	8 7	6	5	4	3	2	<u> </u>	0	
	Very superio	r teacher.	Average	teacher	•	Ver	y poor	teacher	•	
11.	GENERAL ESTI	MATE OF THE	EXPERIENC	E						
	10 9	8 7	7 6	5	4	3	2	1	0	
	One of the mesting, infouseful, persful courses.	rmative, onally help	est, use		n inter- , etc.		al ly us te of t		nd a	
12.	DIFFICULTY O	F EXPERIENC	Œ							
	10 9	8	7 6	5	4	3	2	1	0	
	About right of what was of me.		Unnecesa difficul	•		out	easy: any re challen	al subs	with- tance	

ERIC Fruit Text Provided by ERIC

EVALUATION FORM

FOR

HEW SUMMER INSTITUTE IN STATISTICAL METHODOLOGY

FORM II

1. GENERAL EVALUATION OF THE INSTITUTE

Enter any remarks here that you think may be constructive and helpful. Structure your remarks so that evaluations are made for each activity of the Institute, e.g., statistics lecture, statistics laboratory, research seminar, data processing seminar.



2. GENERAL EVALUATION OF INSTITUTE FACILITIES

ERIC Prul front Provided by ERIC Enter any remarks here that you think may be constructive and helpful. Structure your remarks so that evaluations are made for each facility of the Institute, e.g., classrooms, work areas, machines, University recreational facilities, and administrative procedures and personnel.